bulbs at a royalty of Rs. 18 to major municipalities would benefit neither the department nor the municipality concerned. Instead of paying Rs 18, if the municipalities paid only Rs. 4½ more they would be having 50 per cent more illumination.

Mr. Anekal SRINIVASIENGAR.—Is it not stated in the guarantee that the royalty to be paid is Rs. 22-8-0 for either 60 watts or 40 watts ?

Mr. F. N. MOWDAWALLA.—What that really amounts to is that 60-watt lamps are provided for Rs. 22-8-0. The terms of the guarantee will be modified by omitting 40 watts.

Sri Narasimhaswami Temple at Rajitadripura.

Q.—21. Messrs. C. PATTABHIRAMA SETTY (Chiknaikanhalli Municipality) and G. KANCHAPPA (Chiknaikanhalli Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) how much money is available at the credit of the temple of Sri Narasimhaswami at Rajitadripura (Hatyal) situated within the limits of Chiknaikanhalli and Tiptur taluks ;

(*b*) how is this amount invested in the treasury ;

(*c*) what is the amount of annual income ;

(*d*) what is the expenditure ;

(*e*) what was the amount of annual grant sanctioned (previously) ;

(*f*) what is it now ;

(*g*) are Government aware of the fact that devotees are coming to the temple both at the time of *jatra* and at other times ?

A.—The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT (Mr. M. Venkatesa Iyengar).—

(*a*) and (*b*) Rs. 1,109-11-6 in cash and Rs. 1,000 in 5 Per Cent Loan.

(*c*) Rs. 503-6-9 as per sanctioned scale.

(*d*) Rs. 413, leaving a reserve of Rs. 90.

(*e*) and (*f*) Rs. 11 a year.

(*g*) Yes.

Mr. G. KANCHAPPA.—Is it a major or minor institution? And are there *dharmadarsis* to the temple ?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—Notice.

Mr. H. C. Mummadi VEERABHADRAPPA.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that the temple is in a state of utter disrepair ?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—No.

Mr. H. C. Mummadi VEERABHADRAPPA.—Were not representations made for the early repair of this temple as many pilgrims have been visiting it ?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—I do not know.

Mr. H. C. Mummadi VEERABHADRAPPA.—Now that a request has been made, will the Government be pleased to effect repairs to the temple ?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—That will be considered.

Mr. H. C. Mummadi VEERABHADRAPPA.—Is not the annual grant of Rs. 11 sanctioned to this institution too small an amount ?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—That is a matter of opinion. Some institutions get even smaller amounts.

Mr. H. C. Mummadi VEERABHADRAPPA.—Will the Government be pleased to increase the grant ?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—The matter may be represented to Government separately.

Assessment below Huliyar Amanikere Tank.

Q.—22. Mr. H. C. Mummadi VEERABHADRAPPA (Chiknaikanhalli Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) when was it that the Huliyar Amanikere tank discharged and the lands thereunder cultivated with wet crops ;

(*b*) what was the extent of land irrigated by this tank ;

(*c*) have the raiyats given any petitions applying for *nirsardi* and, if so, how many applications were received ;

(*d*) what was the amount of wet assessment recovered from the raiyats and what was the rate at which the assessment was levied ;

(*e*) have any petitions been received from the raiyats praying for the reduction of the rate of assessment;

(*f*) what action was taken in respect of those petitions?

A.—The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. B. T. Kesavaiengar).—

(*a*) In the year 1933-34 (the latest year in which it discharged).

(*b*) 269 acres.

(*c*) Yes, 94 applications were received.

(*d*) Rs. 995-1-11. Single water-rate was levied on lands grown with wet crops.

(*e*) and (*f*) None.

Mr. H. C. Mummadi VEERABHADRAPPA.—With reference to answer to (*b*), has it come to the notice of the Government that a larger extent of land has come under irrigation?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—No.

Mr. H. C. Mummadi VEERABHADRAPPA.—Do the 269 acres referred to in the answer include dry lands also?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Cannot say.

Improvement of Water Sources in Tumkur District.

Q.—24. Mr. K. P. CHANNABASAVIAH (Sira Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) how many petitions were presented by the raiyats in the Tumkur District to the Revenue and Public Works Departments since 1933-34 until now praying for the improvement of water sources for cultivation purposes, such as construction and repairs, etc., of tanks, *nalas*, *anicuts*, etc.;

(*b*) in respect of how many of these, action was taken and with what result;

(*c*) in respect of how many of these, contributions have been recovered? (The above particulars may be kindly furnished *salwar*, taluk- and villagewar.)

A.—The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. B. T. Kesavaiengar).—

(*a*) to (*c*) Twenty-seven petitions to the Public Works Department as per statement appended (*vide* Appendix).

A statement giving particulars in respect of the Revenue Department is also appended (*vide* Appendix).

Mr. K. P. CHANNABASAVIAH.—In the statement referred to in the Appendix, it is stated that the improvements to certain tanks in the Sira Taluk are included in the triennial programme of the works. But, have any estimates been prepared in respect of those works?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Notice.

Mr. K. P. CHANNABASAVIAH.—Are not these works to be included in the list after estimates are prepared in respect of them?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—What is the object of preparing the estimates unless funds are made available for executing the works? If only estimates are prepared and the works are not undertaken immediately, they may have to be revised once again.

Mr. K. P. CHANNABASAVIAH.—Is it not a fact that during the last three years no tanks have been taken up for improvement, in the Sira Taluk?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—If there are any tanks which require urgent repairs, they will be taken up as early as possible.

Travelling Allowance of District Officers.

Q.—25. Mr. ABDUL NABI KHAN (Tumkur Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) how many District Officers drawing a pay of Rs. 300 and above, who tour all over their district and claim travelling allowance without maintaining any of the following conveyances, are there in the eight districts of the State—

(i) a cycle, or (ii) a jutka, or (iii) a bullock cart, or (iv) a motor car;

(*b*) what are the designations and names of these officers?